



Controversies in Pediatric Resuscitation: 2015

Allan de Caen Pediatric Critical Care Medicine, Stollery Children's Hospital/ University of Alberta



Janeway CWHP Kids Rock Pediatric Emergency Conference 2015

Conflicts of interest Financial None



Academic/ Intellectual:

Co-Chair, ILCOR Pediatric Task Force (2006-2015)
 Chair, AHA 'PALS Guidelines' Writing Group (2015)
 Member, SCCM/ ACCM Sepsis Guidelines Writing Group (2015)
 Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada





Learn and Live sm

Dismantling dogma in resuscitation

Fluid for pediatric septic shock

- Epinephrine for cardiac arrest
- Post-cardiac arrest care bundles
 - Temperature
 - Oxygenation
 - Ventilation
 - Blood pressure
- Prognostication post-cardiac arrest

Role of Early Fluid Resuscitation in Pediatric Septic Shock

Joseph A. Carcillo, MD; Alan L. Davis, MD; Arno Zaritsky, MD

N=34 patients



Early reversal of pediatric-neonatal septic shock by community physicians is associated with improved outcome Han et al, Pediatrics, 2003

Retrospective cohort study (1993–2001)

 91 infants and children presenting to local community hospitals with septic shock and requiring transport to Children's Hospital Pittsburgh

• When resuscitation was consistent with PALS guidelines, a lower mortality was observed

8% vs. 38% mortality non-PALS based therapy

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

JUNE 30, 2011

VOL. 364 NO. 26

Mortality after Fluid Bolus in African Children with Severe Infection Kathryn Maitland, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Sarah Kiguli, M.B., Ch.B., M.Med., Robert O. Opoka, M.B., Ch.B., M.Med.,

- Children with severe febrile illness and impaired perfusion upon admission randomly assigned to receive:
 - boluses of 20 to 40 ml/ kg of 5% albumin solution (albumin-bolus group)
 - Boluses of 20 to 40 ml/ kg of 0.9% saline solution
 - No bolus (control group)
- The primary end point was 48-hour mortality

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

JUNE 30, 2011

VOL. 364 NO. 26

Mortality after Fluid Bolus in African Children with Severe Infection Kathryn Maitland, M.B., B.S., Ph.D., Sarah Kiguli, M.B., Ch.B., M.Med., Robert O. Opoka, M.B., Ch.B., M.Med.,

The 48-hour mortality:
10.6% in albumin-bolus
10.5% in saline-bolus
7.3% in control

Relative mortality risk for any bolus vs. control: 1.45 (95% CI, 1.13 to 1.86; P = 0.003) Criticisms of Extrapolating Matiland's Data

Very poor specificity of inclusion criteria...

 The study was not specifically treating shock as currently defined by WHO

 Cold hands Capillary refill time (CFT) > 2 sec A weak and fast pulse Maitland's criteria were "One or more of": Severe tachycardia ■ CFT >2 sec Lower limb T gradient Weak radial pulse volume

 Likely inclusion of numerous patients with malaria and pneumonia, as opposed to true septic shock A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study of Two Fluid Regimens in the Initial Management of Septic Shock in the Emergency Department Santhanam, Ped Emerg Care, 2008

 Prospective RCT of pediatric septic shock in 147 children (older than 1 month of age), comparing:

40 mL/kg of fluid over 15 minutes followed, by dopamine

20 mL/kg over 20 minutes up to a maximum of 60 mL/kg over 1 hour, followed by dopamine (control protocol) A Prospective Randomized Controlled Study of Two Fluid Regimens in the Initial Management of Septic Shock in the Emergency Department Santhanam, Ped Emerg Care, 2008

 No difference in time to achieve therapeutic goals, or in mortality rates (~17%) between two groups

 No difference in intubation rates were the same (46.5% in control group; 55% in study group; P = 0.28)

 At 20 minutes, hepatomegaly in 35.6% of control group and 70% of study group (P < 0.01). Paediatric community-acquired septic shock: the REPEM Network Study Van de Vourde, Eur J Pediatr, 2013

- 270,461 (European) paediatric ED consultations screened over 1 year
- 176 cases of septic shock identified
- The median amount of fluid given in the first 6 was 30 ml/kg
- Overall mortality in this sample was 4.5 %
- Mechanical ventilation needed in 25.9 %
- Vasoactive medications needed in 42.9 %





Open Access



Timing of antibiotics, volume, and vasoactive infusions in children with sepsis admitted to intensive care

Bregje M. van Paridon¹, Cathy Sheppard², Garcia Guerra G³, Ari R. Joffe^{3,4*} for the Alberta Sepsis Network

- Retrospective single center study of 79 children admitted to a PICU with sepsis or septic shock
- IV volume prior to inotropes was not independently associated with either PICU LOS or ventilator days
- IV volume administered in the first 2 hours of resuscitation *was* an independent predictor of:
 - PICU LOS: 0.22 (95%CI 0.05-0.38)
 - Ventilator days: 0.09 (95%CI 0.02-0.15)

Fluid Bolus Therapy-Based Resuscitation for Severe Sepsis in Hospitalized Children: A Systematic Review

Ben Gelbart, FCICM^{1,2}; Neil J. Glassford, MRCP^{3,4}; Rinaldo Bellomo, MD^{3,4}



Figure 3. Flow diagram of the study selection process for randomized studies and description of study exclusions.

Still to Come....

SQUEEZE

Research Questions re: Fluid Resuscitation

- What are the appropriate clinical, hemodynamic and biochemical markers of adequate tissue perfusion?
- When is fluid unresponsiveness an appropriate goal?
- Is fluid resuscitation indicated when a clinical (eg. hypotension) or biochemical (eg. Lactate) problem co-exists with normal perfusion?
- What is the dose response relationship between fluid and outcomes, and what are the side effects/ safety limits of different volumes
- What are the appropriate outcome measures for fluid resuscitation trials?

Perner, ICM, 2015

Epinephrine and OHCA Care...





The Adrenaline Trial



Ornato, Resuscitation, 2014

Findings consistent for shockable and non-shockable rhythms

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

Table 3. Outcomes.*				
Outcome	High-Dose Epinephrine (N=34)	Standard-Dose Epinephrine (N=34)	Unadjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)*	P Value
	no. of pat	ients (%)		
Return of spontaneous circulation	20 (59)	21 (62)	1.1 (0.4-3.0)	0.80
For ≤20 min	4 (12)	6 (18)	1.6 (0.4-6.3)	0.49
For >20 min but <24 hr	15 (44)	8 (24)	0.4 (0.1-1.1)	0.07
Survival at 24 hr	1 (3)	7 (21)	8.6 (1.0-397.0)	0.05
Survival to hospital discharge	0	4 (12)		0.11

* CI denotes confidence interval.

I





Adjusted* analysis:

50 -Survival 0.94 40 Survival (%) 30 ROSC-0.93 20. Good neurological 10 outcomes 0.95 0 0.90 0.95 1.00 1.05 2 3 5 ≥6 0 Odds ratio

Time to Epinephrine (minutes)

Unadjusted analysis:

Andersen, JAMA, 2015

* Multivariable logistic regression with generalized estimating equations. Odds ratio per minute delay in epinephrine administration. Adjusted for age, gender, illness category, mechanical ventilation, monitored status, witnessed status, location, time of the day/week, year of arrest, insertion of an airway, initial rhythm, time to initiation of chest compressions, hospital type and teaching status

Epinephrine and Cardiac Arrest

 Does the use of epinephrine during cardiac arrest lead to functional outcomes?

Is demonstrating benefit dependent upon identifying the right:
Setting (IHCA vs. OHCA)?
Arrest etiology?
Timing of delivery?
Dose?
Frequency of dosing?

Pediatric Post-Cardiac Arrest Syndrome

% patients	IHCA Non-cv	IHCA CV- postop	IHCA CV- nonsurg	IHCA PICU CV-postop	ОНСА
	NRCPR LO, 2011	NRCPR LO, 2011	NRCPR VN, 2006	HSC NdM, 2006	ROC DA, 2009
	N=1109	N=640	N=306	N=91	N=624
(%) Return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC)	53	67	53	82	10
(%) 24 hr survival	37	60	42	66	
(%) Survival to Hospital DC	23	37	28	25	6.4

Nadkarni, JAMA, 2006 De Mos, CCM, 2006 Ortmann, Circulation, 2011 Atkins, Circulation, 2009 Supportive Evidence for TTM (Temperature Targeted Management)
Adult RCTs: controlling T(33-36°C) is better than not controlling temperature (37°C or higher)
High Quality Evidence

 Adult RCTs: outcomes (survival and CNS outcome) with 32°-34°C, 33°C and 36°C are similar.
 High Quality Evidence



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE



Moler N Engl J Med 2015

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Therapeutic Hypothermia after Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in Children



Post-ROSC fever associated with worse outcomes (*Bembea, 2010*)

Post-ROSC oxygenation

Multiple animal studies have shown that ventilation with 100% oxygen during and following resuscitation contributes to free radical-mediated reperfusion injury to the brain, and may be associated with more neurologic deficit than ventilation with room air, especially when high Pa02 is experienced in the first hour post-ROSC

Post-ROSC oxygenation

What about neonatal studies?

Cardiac arrest Two LOE 5 meta-analyses of several randomized contr trials comparing nec resuscitation in air versus de la constant de la co

Davis, Lancet, 2004; Rabi, Resuscitation, 2007



Pediatric Post-ROSC Oxygenation

 Pediatric and animal data "disconnect"

Hypoxemia post-ROSC is bad, but Hyperoxemia has less significant association with outcomes

	Pa02>	300	Pa02 60	-300		Risk Ratio	Risk	Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI	
Del Castillo, 2012	10	19	59	145	100.0%	1.29 [0.81, 2.07]	-	-	
Total (95% CI)		19		145	100.0%	1.29 [0.81, 2.07]	(
Total events	10		59						
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable	7 (D 0	20)				0.01 0.1 1	. 10	100
lest for overall effect:	Z = 1.07	r(P = 0)	1.28)				Favours Pa02>300	Favours Pa02	60-30

	Pa02>	300	Pa02 60	-300		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Ferguson, 2012	91	207	478	1220	100.0%	1.12 [0.95, 1.33]	
							\frown
Total (95% CI)		207		1220	100.0%	1.12 [0.95, 1.33]	
Total events	91		478		, 		$\mathbf{\mathbf{\nabla}}$
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.34	P = 0).18)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Equation Ba02 > 200 Equation Ba02 60 200
							ravours rauz>500 ravours rauz 60-500

	Pa02>300 Pa02 60-300			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Guerra, 2013	10	34	7	30	100.0%	1.26 [0.55, 2.90]	
Total (95% CI)		34		30	100.0%	1.26 [0.55, 2.90]	
Total events	10		7				$\mathbf{\nabla}$
Heterogeneity: Not app	olicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.55	(P = 0)	.59)				Favours Pa02>300 Favours Pa02 60-300

	Pa02>300 Pa02 60-300		-300		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Bennett, 2013	57	87	37	66	100.0%	1.17 [0.90, 1.52]	
Total (95% CI)		87		66	100.0%	1.17 [0.90, 1.52]	(🕈)
Total events	57		37				$\mathbf{\nabla}$
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.16	5(P = 0)	.24)				Envours Pa02 > 200 Envours Pa02 60-200



Bennett, CCM, 2013



del Castillo et al, Resuscitation, 2012



tension is preserved in comatose patients post-ROSC Buunk Stroke 1997

Examples of TCD Profiles (n=18 patients)





Impaired CBF autoregulation

Autoregulation with no identifiable lower limited

Right-shifted autoregulation *Claus, Stroke 2001*

"...should MAP be kept at a higher level than commonly accepted"; but how high and for how long?

PCAS: Myocardial Dysfunction



Animal models (VF)

- Myocardial stunning, with an onset within 30 min post-ROSC, and function returning to normal within 24-48 hours of the insult
- Systolic and diastolic dysfunction (biventricular)
- Recovery of systolic and diastolic function can be hastened by dobutamine, low dose epinephrine, milrinone or levodimendan

Kern, Circulation, 1997 Kern, J Am Coll Card, 1996

Pediatric Post-ROSC Hypotension Topjian, CCM, 2014

After controlling for patient and cardiopulmonary arrest characteristics, hypotension in the first 6 hours following ROSC was associated with:

- Significantly increased odds of in-hospital mortality (adjusted odds ratio = 1.71; p = 0.042)
- Increased odds of unfavorable outcome (adjusted odds ratio = 1.83; p = 0.032).

Marker of severity, or a Target for management?

 How best to treat, what best to target (BP vs. perfusion indices), post-ROSC mechanical support?



Timing of Prognostication Post ROSC

Fig. 2. Time to awakening after rewarming. Dashed lines indicate the 48 and 72 h timepoints.





Figure 1. Examination times during the course of therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest.

Thank You for your attention!

Allan.decaen@albertahealthservices.ca